Discontinuation of Scheduled Infliximab in Crohn's Patients With Clinical Remission: A Retrospective Single-Center Study

Huiqin Hu^{a, c}, Cheng Xiang^{a, c}, Chen Qiu^a, Zhao Chen^a, Silin Huang^a, Li Liang^b, Xinying Wang^{a, d}

Abstract

Background: It is crucial to determine whether infliximab (IFX) therapy could be safely interrupted in Crohn's disease (CD) patients with clinical remission. The outcome and risk predictors of relapse after IFX therapy stopped are controversial. The aim was to assess the relapse and predictive factors after IFX discontinuation in CD patients with clinical remission.

Methods: A retrospective cohort of CD patients with clinical remission who discontinued scheduled IFX therapy at Nanfang Hospital were included. The primary outcome was relapse. All patients were followed up for more than 3 months. Demographic, clinical, and laboratory parameters were evaluated for their predictive value of relapse.

Results: After a median follow-up period of 12.2(4.8 - 21.2) months, 55.7% (59/106) patients experienced a relapse. The cumulative relapse rate was 39%, 48% and 61% at 6 months, 1 year and 2 years, respectively. Based on multivariable analysis, CD-related surgery before infusion (P = 0.013, hazard ratio (HR): 2.671, 95% confidential interval (CI): 1.230 - 5.798), step-up therapeutic regimen (P = 0.035, HR: 2.073, 95%CI: 1.054 - 4.080), low albumin (Alb) level at week 0 (P = 0.022, HR: 3.431, 95%CI: 1.196 - 9.846) and high C-reactive protein (CRP) level at week 30 (P = 0.007, HR: 2.643, 95%CI: 1.310 - 5.332) were associated with clinical relapse.

Conclusions: After cessation of scheduled IFX therapy in CD patients with clinical remission, nearly half of the patients experienced a relapse within 1 year. In the event of the presence of certain predictive factors, IFX scheduled therapy should probably be continued.

Keywords: Crohn's disease; Discontinuation of infliximab; Relapse; Risk factors

Manuscript accepted for publication February 03, 2017

^aGuangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Gastroenterology, Department of Gastroenterology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China

^dCorresponding Author: Xinying Wang, Department of Gastroenterology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou 510515, China. Email: sunwingwxy@163.com

doi: https://doi.org/10.14740/gr800w

Introduction

Crohn's disease (CD) is a chronic relapsing inflammatory disorder of the gastrointestinal tract [1]. With the advent of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) antagonists, the concept of treating CD patients has substantially changed [2-4]. Infliximab (IFX), a chimeric (mouse/human) anti-TNF monoclonal antibody, has been proven superior to the conventional therapies for CD not only for remission induction but also for maintenance treatment [5, 6] and profoundly influences clinical practice.

The optimal therapeutic strategy for IFX use in treating CD is still debated despite more than a decade of clinical experience, such as the timing for starting IFX therapy, management of loss of response, treatment of recurrence [7, 8]. Another crucial issue is whether and when to stop biologic treatment in patients with remission [8-11]. In clinical settings, cessation of IFX therapy may be considered for various reasons including increased risks of infection and malignancies [12-14]. Moreover, the substantial cost of anti-TNF treatment imposes a great economic burden on CD patients. Consequently, most CD patients seem to require a suitable alternative long-term treatment strategy. This critical question has received attention in several clinical studies, but the populations and designs of these studies have differed, and the outcome after IFX therapy termination has been controversial [15-20]. Pariente and Laharie [10] suggested that patients should receive biologic treatment at least 1 year to minimize the relapse risk after TNF therapy withdrawal. Recent international guideline showed that there were no sufficient data available on the discontinuation of IFX therapy in CD patients and patients should be re-evaluated before IFX therapy was considered to be stopped [8].

The objective of the current study was to assess 1) the relapse rate of CD in Chinese patients with clinical remission on scheduled IFX therapy (about 8 months) discontinuation and 2) potential predictive factors associated with relapse.

Patients and Methods

Study population

Subjects were retrospectively identified from Nanfang Hospital of Southern Medical University between July 2010 and April

Articles © The authors | Journal compilation © Gastroenterol Res and Elmer Press Inc™ | www.gastrores.org This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

^bDepartment of Pathology, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China ^cThese authors contributed equally to this work.

2016. Eligible patients were those who were in clinical remission with scheduled IFX treatment for about 8 months, and then discontinued the agent based on physician global assessment. All patients were followed up for more than 3 months. Exclusion criteria were: 1) patients had previously biological therapy; 2) those performed gastrointestinal resection during IFX therapy; and 3) those had irregular maintenance infusions. The diagnosis of CD was based on routine endoscopic, radiological, histological and clinical criteria. Steroids were tapered off at the time of IFX initiation in patients who underwent a steroid treatment.

Definitions

Scheduled IFX infusions are commonly prescribed at 5 mg/ kg body weight with induction infusions at weeks 0, 2, and 6, followed by maintenance therapy every 8 weeks. Clinical remission was defined as a Crohn's disease activity index [21] (CDAI) score of less than 150 points. Mucosal healing (MH) was defined as a simple endoscopic score for Crohn's disease [22] (SES-CD) of less than 2. Clinical relapse was defined as retreatment with a biologic therapy or systemic steroid or CD-related surgery. And endoscopic relapse was defined as SES-CD > 2.

Data collection

CD patients who were treated with IFX (infusions at week 0, 2, and 6, then followed by maintenance infusions every 8 weeks) and discontinued the drug after clinical remission were retrieved from an available IFX infusion database. Clinical and endoscopic data were later retrieved from patient medical records.

Clinical and demographic data at each time of hospital stay were collected, and CDAI score was calculated prior to each IFX infusion. An endoscopy was performed between the initial and the last IFX infusion, and SES-CD was calculated at the same time. Hemoglobin (Hb), hematocrit (Hct), C-reactive protein (CRP) and serum albumin (Alb) levels were measured by routine procedures. Disease phenotypes of CD patients were determined according to the Montreal classification [23].

Ethical consideration

No application for ethnics committee was needed as this was a retrospective study, and the informed patient consent was obtained.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics was expressed as percentages for discrete variables, and mean with standard deviation (SD) or median with quartiles for continuous variables, as appropriate. The rate of relapse was estimated by survival analysis. Variables



Figure 1. Study population. IFX: infliximab.

were initially assessed for association with relapse using univariate Cox proportional hazard regression analysis, and all variables with P < 0.05 on univariate analysis were included in a multivariable Cox model.

For statistical analysis, SPSS version 19.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA) was used. P-values were two-sided, and P < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

A total of 106 CD patients (72 males and 34 females) treated with scheduled IFX infusions were included (Fig. 1). All patients discontinued IFX treatment after reaching a stable state of clinical remission. The demographic, clinical, biologic, and endoscopic characteristics of these patients are summarized in Table 1.

The median time of follow-up after IFX cessation was 12.2 months (4.8 - 21.2). The median CDAI was 213.5 (160.0 - 265.3) at the beginning of the treatment. Twenty-eight patients had perianal disease and 16 underwent perianal abscess

Characteristics	CD patients (n = 106)
Male, n (%)	72 (67.9)
Active smoker, n (%)	3 (2.8)
Age (years) at diagnosis, mean (SD)	26.6 (9.5)
Montreal classification	
Age (years) at diagnosis, n (%)	
\leq 16 years (A1)	10 (9.4)
17 - 40 years (A2)	83 (78.3)
> 40 years (A3)	13 (12.3)
Disease site, n (%)	
Ileum (L1)	36 (34.0)
Colon (L2)	7 (6.6)
Ileocolon (L3)	63 (59.4)
Disease behavior, n (%)	
Inflammatory (B1)	55 (51.9)
Stricturing (B2)	41 (38.7)
Penetrating (B3)	10 (9.4)
Perianal disease, n (%)	28 (26.4)
Extraintestinal manifestations, n (%)	10 (9.4)
Disease duration (m), n (%)	
≤ 18	84 (79.2)
> 18	22 (20.8)
Gastrointestinal surgery before infusion, n (%)	27 (25.5)
Therapeutic regimen, n (%)	
Step-up	30 (28.3)
Top-down	76 (71.7)
CRP level at week 0/30, median (IQR)	9.9 (3.0 - 38.9)/1.49 (0.48 - 6.11)
Alb level at week 0/30, median (IQR)	37.7 (33.7 - 41.6)/42.3 (39.2 - 45.7)
WBC level at week 0/30, median (IQR)	7.12 (5.91 - 8.92)/5.57 (4.83 - 6.58)
Hb level at week 0/30, median (IQR)	115.0 (101.0 - 130.3)/129.0 (117.5 - 143.0)
Hct level at week 0/30, median (IQR)	36.6 (32.7 - 40.5)/39.3 (35.9 - 43.2)
CDAI at week 0/30, median (IQR)	213.5 (160.0 - 265.3)/64.5 (39.8 - 90.5)
AZA at IFX discontinuation, n (%)	77 (72.6)

 Table 1. Characteristics of the Included Patients

CRP: C-reactive protein; Alb: albumin; WBC: white blood cell; Hb: hemoglobin; Hct: hematocrit; AZA: azathioprine; CDAI: Crohn's disease activity index; SD: standard deviation; IQR: interquartile range.

operation before recruitment. Twenty-seven of 106 patients underwent gastrointestinal surgery before the initial infusion. In addition, 77 of the 106 patients were followed with 2 mg/ kg azathioprine treatment. At the time of IFX discontinuation, 35 patients had an endoscopy and 28 of them achieved MH.

Outcome of IFX discontinuation

At a median time of 12.2 months after cessation of IFX therapy, 59/106 patients (41 males and 18 females) experienced a relapse. Five of them underwent CD-related surgery, four patients received systemic steroid treatment, and 48 patients restarted IFX therapy.

Duration of clinical remission after discontinuation of IFX is shown in Figure 2. The cumulative probability of relapse after discontinuing IFX treatment was 39%, 48% and 61% at 6 months, 1 year and 2 years, respectively. Survival analysis revealed that almost half the patients continued to be in remission during the median follow-up period of 12.2 months. Finally, 37% of patients were in remission up to the end of follow-up after 4 years.



Figure 2. The rate of remission after infliximab withdrawal in patients with Crohn's disease.

Factors associated with relapse

In the univariate analysis, eight factors proved to be associated with relapse after IFX withdrawal (Table 2), including CD-related surgery before IFX infusion (P = 0.049), step-up therapeutic regimen (P = 0.027), low Hb level at week 0 (P = 0.004), low Hct level at week 0 (P = 0.020), low Alb level at week 0 (P = 0.027), low Hct level at week 30 (P = 0.009), high CRP level at week 30 (P = 0.000), and low Alb level at week 30 (P = 0.000). No other predictive factors of relapse (gender, age at diagnosis, disease location, concomitant immunosuppressors, and disease duration) were identified.

In a multivariable Cox model, CD-related surgery before infusion (P = 0.013, hazard ratio (HR): 2.671, 95% confidential interval (CI): 1.230 - 5.798), step-up therapeutic regimen (P = 0.035, HR: 2.073, 95%CI: 1.054 - 4.080), low Alb level at week 0 (P = 0.022, HR: 3.431, 95%CI: 1.196 - 9.846) and high CRP level at week 30 (P = 0.007, HR: 2.643, 95%CI: 1.310 - 5.332) were associated with relapse in these CD patients (Table 3).

Discussion

A consensus regarding whether and when IFX can be discontinued safely in CD patients with IFX-induced remission is still lacking. The results of recent studies differed greatly on IFX treatment period and rates of relapse after IFX-induced remission. Several studies from Western countries reported that relapse rate 1 year after IFX withdrawal ranged from 36% to 44% [15-17, 24, 25]. But the size of the cohorts was small, the IFX treatment period was various, and most of the patients were treated episodically. Scheduled maintenance therapy has been proven more effective than episodic therapy [26, 27], and our retrospective study assessed the relapse rate and predictors after scheduled IFX treatment in Chinese CD patients with a median follow-up of 12.2 months.

In China, there is a promotion strategy for IFX (two infusions of IFX were free if four infusions have been fully paid), so CD patients always stop IFX therapy after six infusions (almost 8-month therapy) as well as achieving a clinical remission. In our retrospective observational study, we analyzed CD patients receiving six scheduled infusions of IFX, a relapse was observed in 48% of the patients after 1 year follow-up, which was similar to the previous studies and suggested nearly half of CD patients relapse within 1 year [15, 16, 18]. The cumulative relapse rate was 61% at 2 years, higher than that in a prospective observational study (51%) [15]. A multidisciplinary European expert panel considered anti-TNF mono-therapy withdrawal appropriate in cases after 2 years of clinical and endoscopic remission, and after 4 years of clinical remission. In case of combined therapy, anti-TNF withdrawal, while continuing the impressive agents, was considered appropriate after 2 years of clinical remission [9]. Our data suggested that IFX withdrawal in CD patients who achieved clinical remission after 8 months IFX scheduled therapy was not appropriate when the patients had high risk factors.

Identification of a subgroup of patients with a low risk of relapse and validation of the relevant predictors are crucial for the cessation of IFX therapy. Several studies have reported various factors associated with recurrence, such as elevated CRP levels and fecal calprotecin, low Hb levels, dose intensification, long disease duration and the presence of mucosal damage [15, 28]. But two observational studies could not identify any risk factor for relapse [16, 19]. We also analyzed the predictors according to clinical and biochemical parameters. Consistent with these studies, our data also showed low Alb levels at week 0 and elevated CRP levels at week 30 were associated with relapse. CRP is an indicator of inflammation, and Alb levels reflect the overall nutritional status of the patients. Our results suggest that adequate Alb levels in the beginning and normalized CRP levels in the end period of scheduled IFX infusions are associated with low risk of post-IFX discontinuation relapse and IFX withdrawal may be considered in these patients.

Step-up therapeutic strategy was also associated with relapse. In an open randomized trial with 133 CD patients, top-down therapeutic regimen proved to be better than conventional step-up regimen in reducing remission rate and MH [29]. In our study, about 70% patients received top-down strategy, with most of them within 1 year after diagnosis. The multivariable analysis revealed that the long-term remission rate of top-down group showed a significant improvement. Absence of previous surgery is considered favorable prognostic factor in CD. Our multivariable Cox model also revealed that previous CD-related surgery predicted an earlier recurrence. Interestingly, Louis et al [15] identified absence of previous surgical resection as a risk factor for relapse.

Moreover, with the introduction of biological therapies for IBD, the therapeutic target for CD has changed from clinical remission to MH, even deep remission [30]. Even no consensus has been achieved in terms of defining MH and DR in IBD,

Table 2. Univariate Analysis of Factors Associated With Relapse

Sex	Variables	Relapses/total	P value	Hazard ratio	95% CI
Fermile 18/3 0.05 1 Male 41/7 1.15 0.664 - 2.017 Disease duration (months)	Sex				
Male 41/2 I.58 0.664 - 2.017 Sease during (momb) 1 1 ≤ 18 17.22 1.679 0.954 - 2.955 Age at diagnois 1 1.679 0.954 - 2.955 Age at diagnois 1.679 0.603 - 6.255 1.032 1.02 1.032	Female	18/34	0.605	1	
$\begin{split} & \text{Descent duration (months)} \\ & \leq 18 & 42.4 & 0.072 & 1 & 0.954 - 2.955 \\ & \geq 18 & 1722 & 1.679 & 0.954 - 2.955 \\ & \geq 167 + 0.978 + (A) & 31.0 & 0.071 & 1 & 0.954 - 2.955 \\ & \geq 17 + 0.978 + (A) & 31.0 & 0.071 & 1 & 0.955 & 0.954 & 0.955 \\ & = 17 + 0.978 + (A) & 0.063 & 0.266 & 1.942 & 0.603 + 6.255 \\ & = 17 + 0.978 + (A) & 0.027 & 1.022 + 13.719 \\ & = 100 + 0.01 & 0.057 & 0.267 & 1 & 0.027 + 1.022 + 0.028 & 0.013 + 1.525 \\ & = 100 + 0.01 & 0.055 & 0.944 & 1 & 0.942 - 2.800 \\ & = 100 + 0.01 & 0.077 & 0.185 & 0.944 & 0.942 - 2.800 \\ & = 100 + 0.01 & 0.077 & 0.185 & 0.941 & 0.942 - 2.800 \\ & = 100 + 0.01 & 0.077 & 0.077 & 0.077 & 0.057 & 0.524 + 1.751 \\ & = 100 + 0.01 & 0.077 & 0.077 & 0.057 & 0.524 + 1.751 \\ & = 100 + 0.01 & 0.077 & 0.077 & 0.524 + 1.751 \\ & = 100 + 0.01 & 0.077 & 0.077 & 0.524 + 1.751 \\ & = 100 + 0.01 & 0.077 & 0.027 & 1 & 0.073 + 0.524 + 1.751 \\ & = 100 + 0.01 & 0.077 & 0.027 & 1 & 0.073 + 0.524 + 1.751 \\ & = 100 + 0.01 & 0.077 & 0.027 & 1 & 0.073 + 0.524 + 1.751 \\ & = 100 + 0.01 & 0.077 & 0.027 & 1 & 0.073 + 0.524 + 1.751 \\ & = 100 + 0.01 & 0.077 & 0.027 & 1 & 0.073 + 0.358 \\ & = 100 + 0.01 & 0.077 & 0.027 & 1 & 0.073 + 0.358 \\ & = 100 + 0.01 & 0.027 & 1 & 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.027 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.027 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.027 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.027 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.027 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.027 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.027 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.027 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.027 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.027 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.027 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.027 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.027 + 0.01 + 0.01 + 0.027 + 0.01 +$	Male	41/72		1.158	0.664 - 2.017
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Disease duration (months)				
	≤ 18	42/84	0.072	1	
Age at diagnosis s 1.6 years (A.2) 40 (A.3) 0.266 1.942 0.603 - 6.255 > 4.0 years (A.2) 1013 0.445 3.763 1.032 Location	> 18	17/22		1.679	0.954 - 2.955
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Age at diagnosis				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	< 16 years (A1)	3/10	0.071	1	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	17 - 40 years (A2)	46/83	0.266	1.942	0.603 - 6.255
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} Local (1.1) & 22/36 & 0.267 & 1 & \\ Colon (1.2) & 577 & 0.185 & 1.944 & 0.728 - 5.188 \\ llococion (1.3) & 32/63 & 0.660 & 0.885 & 0.513 - 1.525 & \\ Upper CI (1.4) & 0.0 & & 0.018 & 0.01$	>40 years (A3)	10/13	0.045	3,763	1.032 - 13.719
	Location				
$\begin{array}{ccc} {\rm Color} (1.2) & 57 & 0.185 & 1.944 & 0.728 + 5.188 \\ {\rm Hexelon} & 0.0 & 0.513 + 1.525 \\ {\rm Upper GI (1.4) & 0.0 & 0.513 + 1.525 \\ {\rm Upper GI (1.4) & 0.0 & 0.07 & 2.171 & 0.937 + 5.027 \\ {\rm Stricturing (13) & 7.10 & 0.07 & 2.171 & 0.937 + 5.027 \\ {\rm Penetrating (13) & 7.10 & 0.07 & 2.171 & 0.937 + 5.027 \\ {\rm Penetrating (13) & 7.10 & 0.07 & 2.171 & 0.937 + 5.027 \\ {\rm Penetrating (13) & 7.10 & 0.07 & 2.171 & 0.937 + 5.027 \\ {\rm Penetrating (13) & 7.10 & 0.07 & 2.171 & 0.937 + 5.027 \\ {\rm Penetrating (13) & 7.10 & 0.07 & 0.524 + 1.751 \\ {\rm Yes & 142.8 & 0.957 & 0.524 + 1.751 \\ {\rm Yes & 142.8 & 0.977 & 0.524 + 1.751 \\ {\rm Yes & 7.10 & 1.746 & 0.790 - 3.858 \\ {\rm Herapeutic regimen & } & 1.746 & 0.790 - 3.858 \\ {\rm Terapeutic regimen & } & 1.746 & 0.790 - 3.858 \\ {\rm Stepup & 2.230 & 1.820 & 1.070 + 3.093 \\ {\rm Combine therapy & } & 1.20 & 1.718 & 0.01 + 2.272 \\ {\rm Corbine therapy & } & 1.120 & 1.179 & 0.611 + 2.272 \\ {\rm Corbine therapy & } & 2.027 & 1.820 & 1.070 + 3.093 \\ {\rm Yes & 2.027 & 1.718 & 1.002 + 2.947 \\ {\rm Yes & 2.027 & 1.718 & 1.002 + 2.947 \\ {\rm Hew (16 the Wel ^m - 106) & U & 1.718 & 1.002 + 2.947 \\ {\rm We & 4.968 & 0.044 & 1 & 1.718 \\ {\rm Low Hit level ^m (n = 106) & U & 1.718 & 1.002 + 2.947 \\ {\rm We & 4.568 & 0.024 & 1 & 1.718 \\ {\rm Low Hit level ^m (n = 106) & U & 1.718 & 1.022 + 2.947 \\ {\rm Yes & 3.664 & 0.024 & 1 & 0.241 \\ {\rm No & 1.718 & 0.024 & 1.718 & 1.022 + 2.947 \\ {\rm Yes & 3.664 & 0.027 & 1 & 0.018 + 3.535 \\ {\rm Low Hit level (n = 106) & U & U & 0.020 & 1 \\ {\rm No & 1.036 & 0.600 + 1.791 \\ {\rm Ab level (g1.1) (n = 102) & U & 0.027 & 1 & 0.018 + 3.535 \\ {\rm Solor (1.024 & 0.027 & 1 & 0.028 & 0.027 \\ {\rm Solor (1.035 & 0.073 + 2.245 & 0.028 & 0.027 & 1.035 \\ {\rm No & 3.066 & 0.277 & 1 & 0.081 + 3.535 \\ {\rm Hologic variables at weck 30 & 0.027 & 1 & 0.081 + 3.535 \\ {\rm Low Hit level ^m (n = 105) & U & U & 0.028 \\ {\rm Yes & 3.559 & 3.068 & 0.000 & 1 & 0.028 \\ {\rm Yes & 3.559 & 3.068 & 0.000 & 1 & 0.028 \\ {\rm Yes & 3.559 & 3.068 & 0.000 & 1 & 0.028 \\ {\rm Yes & 3.559 & 3.068 & 0.000 & 1 & 0.028 \\ {\rm Yes & 3.$	Ileum (L1)	22/36	0.267	1	
$\begin{split} \hline \text{Incorder} (1.3) & 32/63 & 0.660 & 0.885 & 0.513 - 1.525 \\ Upper G1(A) & 00 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Colon (L2)	5/7	0.185	1.944	0.728 - 5.188
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ileocolon (L3)	32/63	0.660	0.885	0.513 - 1.525
$\begin{split} & \text{Behavior} & Behavior$	Upper GI (L4)	0/0			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c } \mbox{inflammatory (B1)} & 2575 & 0.094 & 1 \\ \hline \begin{timessample}{ c c c c } \mbox{inflammatory (B1)} & 2575 & 0.094 & 1 \\ \hline \begin{timessample}{ c c c c } \mbox{inflammatory (B2)} & 711 & 0.937 - 5.027 \\ \hline \begin{timessample}{ c c c c } \mbox{inflammatory (B1)} & 710 & 0.070 & 2.171 & 0.937 - 5.027 \\ \hline \begin{timessample}{ c c c c } \mbox{inflammatory (B1)} & 0.070 & 2.171 & 0.937 - 5.027 \\ \hline \begin{timessample}{ c c c c } \mbox{inflammatory (B1)} & 0.070 & 0.524 - 1.751 \\ \hline \begin{timessample}{ c c c c } \mbox{inflammatory (B1)} & 0.070 & 0.524 - 1.751 \\ \hline \begin{timessample}{ c c c c } \mbox{inflammatory (B1)} & 0.070 & 0.524 - 1.751 \\ \hline \begin{timessample}{ c c c c } \mbox{inflammatory (B1)} & 0.027 & 1 \\ \hline \begin{timessample}{ c c c c c } \mbox{inflammatory (B1)} & 0.027 & 1 \\ \hline \begin{timessample}{ c c c c c } \mbox{inflammatory (B1)} & 0.027 & 1 \\ \hline \begin{timessample}{ c c c c c c c c c c } \mbox{inflammatory (B1)} & 0.027 & 1 \\ \hline \begin{timessample}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Behavior	010			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Inflammatory (B1)	25/55	0 094	1	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Stricturing (B2)	27/41	0.081	1 624	0 942 - 2 800
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Penetrating (B3)	7/10	0.070	2 171	0.937 - 5.027
No 4578 0.888 1 Yes 14/28 0.957 0.524 - 1.751 Extraintestinal manifestation 1.746 0.790 - 3.858 Therapeutic regimen 1.746 0.700 - 3.858 Top-down 37/76 0.027 1 Step-up 2.230 1.820 1.070 - 3.093 Combine therapy 1.179 0.611 - 2.272 No 48/86 0.624 1 Yes 2.027 1.718 1.002 - 2.947 Biologic variables at weet 0 1.718 1.002 - 2.947 No 39/79 0.049 1 Yes 2.027 1.718 1.002 - 2.947 Biologic variables at weet 0 1 1 1.002 - 2.947 Low Hb level* (n = 106) 2.419 1.325 - 4.416 1.002 - 2.947 No 14/38 0.004 1 1 Yes 45/68 2.419 1.325 - 4.416 Low Hb level* (n = 106) 1.010 1.012 - 3.438 1.012 - 3.438 CRP level (mg/L) (n = 101) 1.036 0.600 - 1.791 1.012 - 3.438	Perianal disease	//10	0.070	2.1 / 1	0.557 5.027
Yes 14/28 0.000 0.957 0.524 - 1.751 Extraintestinal manifestation	No	45/78	0.888	1	
Extraintestinal manifestation 10.00 0.000 0.000 10.00 No 52.96 0.168 1 1.746 0.790 - 3.858 Therapeutic regimen	Ves	14/28	0.000	0.957	0 524 - 1 751
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Extraintestinal manifestation	17/20		0.937	0.524 - 1.751
No 17.0 1.746 0.700 - 3.858 Therapeutic regimen	No	52/96	0.168	1	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Vac	7/10	0.100	1 746	0.790 3.858
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Therapeutic regimen	//10		1.740	0.790 - 5.858
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Top down	27/76	0.027	1	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } \hline Combine therapy & 1.02.0 & 1.02.0 & 1.00.0 \pm 0.093 \\ \hline Combine therapy & 1.02.0 & 1.179 & 0.611 \pm 2.272 \\ \hline No & 39/79 & 0.049 & 1 & 1.020 \pm 2.947 \\ \hline No & 39/79 & 0.049 & 1 & 1.020 \pm 2.947 \\ \hline No & 39/79 & 0.049 & 1 & 1.020 \pm 2.947 \\ \hline No & 14/38 & 0.004 & 1 & 1.020 \pm 2.947 \\ \hline Low Hb level* (n = 106) & 2.419 & 1.325 \pm 4.416 \\ \hline No & 17/41 & 0.020 & 1 & 1.020 \pm 1.020 & 1.020$	Stop up	22/20	0.027	1 820	1 070 2 003
No 48/86 0.624 1 No 11/20 1.179 0.611 - 2.272 CD-related surgery before infusion 1 1.179 0.611 - 2.272 No 39/79 0.049 1 1 Yes 20/27 1.718 1.002 - 2.947 Biologic variables at week 0 1 1 1.002 - 2.947 Low Hb level* (n = 106) 1 1 1.002 - 2.947 No 14/38 0.004 1 Yes 45/68 2.419 1.325 - 4.416 Low Hc level** (n = 106) 1 1.955 1.112 - 3.438 CRP level (mg/L) (n = 101) 2 1.036 0.600 - 1.791 ≤ 5 20/37 0.898 1 2 ≤ 40 15/38 0.027 1 2 Alb level (g/L) (n = 102) 2 1.081 - 3.535 1.081 - 3.535 Biologic variables at week 30 1.955 1.081 - 3.535 1.081 - 3.535 Low Hc level* (n = 105) 1.335 0.793 - 2.245 1.081 - 3.535 No 33/66 0.277 1 Yes 3.	Combine therepy	22/30		1.820	1.070 - 3.093
NO 40.00 0.024 1 Yes 11/20 1.179 0.611 - 2.272 CD-related surgery before infusion	No.	10/06	0.624	1	
CD-related surgery before infusion 11/20 1.179 0.011 + 2.272 No 39/79 0.049 1 No 20/27 1.718 1.002 - 2.947 Biologic variables at week 0 1.718 1.002 - 2.947 Low Hb level* (n = 106) 1.325 - 4.416 1.325 - 4.416 No 14/38 0.004 1 Yes 45/68 2.419 1.325 - 4.416 Low Hc level** (n = 106) 1.955 1.112 - 3.438 No 17/41 0.020 1 Yes 42/65 1.955 1.112 - 3.438 CRP level (mg/L) (n = 101) 1.036 0.600 - 1.791 ≤ 5 36/64 1.036 0.600 - 1.791 Alb level (g/L) (n = 102) 1.955 1.081 - 3.535 Biologic variables at week 30 1.955 1.081 - 3.535 Biologic variables at week 30 1.955 1.081 - 3.535 Low Hc level** (n = 105) 1.335 0.793 - 2.245 No 33/66 0.277 1 Yes 30/43 1.992 1.188 - 3.341 CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) 1	NO Vac	40/00	0.024	1 170	0.611 2.272
No 39/79 0.049 1 Yes 20/27 1.718 1.002 - 2.947 Biologic variables at week 0 1 1.002 - 2.947 Low Hb level* (n = 106) 0.004 1 Yes 45/68 2.419 1.325 - 4.416 Low Hct level** (n = 106) 2.419 1.325 - 4.416 Yes 42/65 1.955 1.112 - 3.438 CRP level (mg/L) (n = 101) 1.955 1.112 - 3.438 ≤ 5 20/37 0.898 1 ≤ 5 20/37 0.898 1 ≤ 40 15/38 0.027 1 < 40 15/38 0.027 1 < 40 41/64 1.955 1.081 - 3.535 Biologic variables at week 30 1.955 1.081 - 3.535 Low He level* (n = 105) 1.335 0.793 - 2.245 No 28/62 0.009 1 Yes 30/43 1.992 1.188 - 3.341 CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) 1.225 0.114 1.992 - 6.114 Yes 30/68 0.000 1 5	CD related surgery before infusion	11/20		1.179	0.011 - 2.272
No 39/79 0.049 1 Yes 2027 1.718 1.002 - 2.947 Biologic variables at week 0	CD-fetated surgery before infusion	20/70	0.040	1	
res 20/27 1.718 1.002 - 2.347 Biologic variables at week 0 1.718 1.002 - 2.347 Low Hb level* (n = 106) 1.4/38 0.004 1 Yes 45/68 2.419 1.325 - 4.416 Low Hct level** (n = 106) 1.955 1.112 - 3.438 Ves 42/65 1.955 1.112 - 3.438 CRP level (mg/L) (n = 101) 1.036 0.600 - 1.791 ≤ 5 20/37 0.898 1 > 5 36/64 1.036 0.600 - 1.791 Alb level (g/L) (n = 102) 1 1.955 1.081 - 3.535 Biologic variables at week 30 1.955 1.081 - 3.535 Low Hb level* (n = 105) 1.335 0.793 - 2.245 No 33/66 0.277 1 Yes 25/39 1.335 0.793 - 2.245 Low Hc level** (n = 105) 1.992 1.188 - 3.341 Yes 30/43 1.992 1.188 - 3.341 CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) 1.992 1.188 - 3.341 ≤ 5 30/68 0.000 1 > 5 21/25 3.479 <td>NO</td> <td>39/19</td> <td>0.049</td> <td>1 719</td> <td>1 002 2 047</td>	NO	39/19	0.049	1 719	1 002 2 047
Biologic variables at week 0 Low Hb level* (n = 106) No 14/38 0.004 1 Yes 45/68 2.419 1.325 - 4.416 Low Hct level** (n = 106) No 17/41 0.020 1 Yes 42/65 1.955 1.112 - 3.438 CRP level (mg/L) (n = 101) ≤ 5 20/37 0.898 1 ≥ 5 3.6/64 0.036 0.600 - 1.791 Alb level (g/L) (n = 102) ≥ 40 15/38 0.027 1 < 40 41/64 1.955 1.081 - 3.535 Biologic variables at week 30 Low Hb level* (n = 105) No 33/66 0.277 1 Yes 25/39 1.335 0.793 - 2.245 Low Hct level** (n = 105) No 28/62 0.009 1 Yes 30/43 1.992 1.188 - 3.341 CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) ≤ 5 30/68 0.000 1 > 5 21/25 3.479 1.979 - 6.114 Alb level (g/L) (n = 93) ≤ 40 27/66 0.000 1 > 5 21/25 3.479 1.979 - 6.114 Alb level (g/L) (n = 90) ≥ 40 27/66 0.000 1 > 5 21/25 3.479 1.979 - 6.114 Alb level (g/L) (n = 90) ≥ 40 27/66 0.000 1 > 5 21/25 3.479 1.979 - 6.114 Alb level (g/L) (n = 90) ≥ 40 26/33 2.717 1.567 - 4.711 AZA after IFX discontinuation No 14/29 0.109 1 Yes 45/77 1.644 0.896 - 3.018	Tes Diala di considente et sucche O	20/27		1./18	1.002 - 2.947
No14/380.0041No14/380.0041Yes45/682.4191.325 - 4.416Low Het level** (n = 106)	Biologic variables at week 0				
No14/380.041Yes45/682.4191.325 - 4.416Low Hct level** (n = 106) $(1,2,2,3,438)$ $(1,2,3,438)$ No17/410.0201Yes42/651.9551.112 - 3.438CRP level (mg/L) (n = 101) $(1,2,3,438)$ $(1,2,3,438)$ ≤ 5 20/370.8981> 536/641.0360.600 - 1.791Alb level (g/L) (n = 102) $(1,2,3,438)$ 0.0271 ≤ 40 15/380.0271 < 40 41/641.9551.081 - 3.535Biologic variables at week 30 $(1,2,3,335)$ 0.793 - 2.245Low Hb level* (n = 105) $(1,2,3,335)$ 0.793 - 2.245No33/660.2771Yes25/391.3350.793 - 2.245Low Hct level** (n = 105) $(1,2,3,335)$ 0.793 - 2.245No2.8/620.0091Yes30/431.9921.188 - 3.341CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) $(1,2,3,336)$ 1.992 ≤ 5 30/680.0001 < 5 2.1/253.4791.979 - 6.114Alb level (gL) (n = 99) $(2,33)$ 2.7171.567 - 4.711 < 40 26/332.7171.567 - 4.711AZA after IFX discontinuation (429) 0.1091No14/290.1091Yes45/771.6440.896 - 3.018	Low Hb level* $(n = 106)$	14/29	0.004	1	
Yes45/882,4191,325 + 4,416Low Het level** (n = 106)	NO	14/38	0.004	1	1 225 4 416
Low Het level** (n = 106) No 17/41 0.020 1 Yes 42/65 1.955 1.112 - 3.438 CRP level (mg/L) (n = 101) ≤ 5 20/37 0.898 1 > 5 36/64 1.036 0.600 - 1.791 Alb level (g/L) (n = 102) ≥ 40 15/38 0.027 1 < 40		45/68		2.419	1.325 - 4.416
No17/410.0201Yes42/651.9551.112 - 3.438CRP level (mg/L) (n = 101) ≤ 5 20/370.8981 ≥ 5 20/370.8981 ≤ 5 > 5 36/641.0360.600 - 1.791Alb level (g/L) (n = 102) ≤ 40 41/641.955 ≥ 40 41/641.9551.081 - 3.535Biologic variables at week 30 $=$ $=$ Low Hb level* (n = 105) $=$ $=$ No33/660.2771Yes25/391.3350.793 - 2.245Low Hct level** (n = 105) $=$ $=$ No28/620.0091Yes30/431.9921.188 - 3.341CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) $=$ $=$ ≤ 5 30/680.0001 ≤ 5 21/253.4791.979 - 6.114Alb level (g/L) (n = 99) $=$ $=$ ≥ 40 27/660.0001 < 40 26/332.7171.567 - 4.711AZA after IFX discontinuation $=$ $=$ No14/290.1091Yes45/771.6440.896 - 3.018	Low Hct level** $(n = 106)$	1	0.000		
Yes42/651.9551.112 - 3.438CRP level (mg/L) (n = 101) ≤ 5 20/370.8981 ≥ 5 36/641.0360.600 - 1.791Alb level (g/L) (n = 102) ≤ 40 15/380.0271 ≥ 40 41/641.9551.081 - 3.535Biologic variables at week 30 ≤ 40 41/641.9551.081 - 3.535Low Hb level* (n = 105) $=$ $=$ $=$ No33/660.2771 $=$ Yes25/391.3350.793 - 2.245Low Hct level** (n = 105) $=$ $=$ No28/620.0091Yes30/431.9921.188 - 3.341CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) $=$ $=$ ≤ 5 30/680.0001 ≤ 5 21/253.4791.979 - 6.114Alb level (g/L) (n = 99) $=$ $=$ ≥ 40 27/660.0001 < 40 26/332.7171.567 - 4.711AZA after IFX discontinuation $=$ $=$ No14/290.1091Yes45/771.6440.896 - 3.018	No	17/41	0.020	1	4 44 6 4 4 6 6
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Yes	42/65		1.955	1.112 - 3.438
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CRP level (mg/L) (n = 101)				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	≤ 5	20/37	0.898	1	
Alb level (g/L) (n = 102) ≥ 40 15/380.0271 < 40 41/641.9551.081 - 3.535Biologic variables at week 30	> 5	36/64		1.036	0.600 - 1.791
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Alb level (g/L) $(n = 102)$				
< 40 $1/64$ 1.955 $1.081 - 3.535$ Biologic variables at week 30 $Low Hb level* (n = 105)$ $1.081 - 3.535$ No $33/66$ 0.277 1 Yes $25/39$ 1.335 $0.793 - 2.245$ Low Hct level** (n = 105) 1.335 $0.793 - 2.245$ No $28/62$ 0.009 1 Yes $30/43$ 1.992 $1.188 - 3.341$ CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) ≤ 5 $21/25$ 3.479 $1.979 - 6.114$ Alb level (g/L) (n = 99) ≥ 40 $27/66$ 0.000 1 ≤ 40 $26/33$ 2.717 $1.567 - 4.711$ AZA after IFX discontinuation Ves $45/77$ 1.644 $0.896 - 3.018$	\geq 40	15/38	0.027	1	
Biologic variables at week 30 Low Hb level* (n = 105) 33/66 0.277 1 No 33/66 0.277 1 Yes 25/39 1.335 0.793 - 2.245 Low Hct level** (n = 105) 1335 0.793 - 2.245 No 28/62 0.009 1 Yes 30/43 1.992 1.188 - 3.341 CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) ≤ 5 21/25 3.479 1.979 - 6.114 Alb level (g/L) (n = 99) ≥ 40 27/66 0.000 1 ≤ 40 26/33 2.717 1.567 - 4.711 AZA after IFX discontinuation No 14/29 0.109 1 Yes 45/77 1.644 0.896 - 3.018	< 40	41/64		1.955	1.081 - 3.535
Low Hb level* (n = 105)33/660.2771Yes25/391.3350.793 - 2.245Low Hct level** (n = 105) 1.335 0.793 - 2.245No28/620.0091Yes30/431.9921.188 - 3.341CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) 25 30/680.0001 ≤ 5 30/680.0001 255 > 521/253.4791.979 - 6.114Alb level (g/L) (n = 99) 240 27/660.0001 < 40 26/332.7171.567 - 4.711AZA after IFX discontinuation $14/29$ 0.1091No14/290.1091Yes45/771.6440.896 - 3.018	Biologic variables at week 30				
No33/66 0.277 1Yes25/391.335 $0.793 - 2.245$ Low Hct level** (n = 105) 1.335 $0.793 - 2.245$ No28/62 0.009 $1.188 - 3.341$ Yes30/43 1.992 $1.188 - 3.341$ CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) 25 $30/68$ 0.000 ≤ 5 $30/68$ 0.000 $1.1979 - 6.114$ Alb level (g/L) (n = 99) $27/66$ 0.000 $1.1979 - 6.114$ ≥ 40 $27/66$ 0.000 $1.1979 - 6.114$ AZA after IFX discontinuation 2.717 $1.567 - 4.711$ No $14/29$ 0.109 $1.1979 - 6.118$ No $14/29$ 0.109 $1.1979 - 6.118$ Yes $45/77$ 1.644 $0.896 - 3.018$	Low Hb level* $(n = 105)$				
Yes25/391.335 $0.793 - 2.245$ Low Hct level** (n = 105) No28/62 0.009 1Yes30/431.992 $1.188 - 3.341$ CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) ≤ 5 $30/68$ 0.000 1 ≤ 5 $30/68$ 0.000 1 > 5 $21/25$ 3.479 $1.979 - 6.114$ Alb level (g/L) (n = 99) $= 240$ $27/66$ 0.000 1 < 40 $26/33$ 2.717 $1.567 - 4.711$ AZA after IFX discontinuation No $14/29$ 0.109 1No $14/29$ 0.109 1 Yes $45/77$ 1.644 $0.896 - 3.018$	No	33/66	0.277	1	
Low Hct level** (n = 105) No28/620.0091Yes30/431.9921.188 - 3.341CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) ≤ 5 30/680.0001 ≤ 5 30/680.0001 ≤ 5 Alb level (g/L) (n = 99) ≥ 40 27/660.0001 < 40 26/332.7171.567 - 4.711AZA after IFX discontinuation \sim \sim \sim No14/290.1091Yes45/771.6440.896 - 3.018	Yes	25/39		1.335	0.793 - 2.245
No $28/62$ 0.009 1Yes $30/43$ 1.992 $1.188 - 3.341$ CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) $\leq 530/680.0001> 521/253.4791.979 - 6.114Alb level (g/L) (n = 99)\geq 4027/660.0001< 4026/332.7171.567 - 4.711AZA after IFX discontinuationNo14/290.1091Yes45/771.6440.896 - 3.018$	Low Hct level** ($n = 105$)				
Yes $30/43$ 1.992 $1.188 - 3.341$ CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) ≤ 5 $30/68$ 0.000 1 > 5 $21/25$ 3.479 $1.979 - 6.114$ Alb level (g/L) (n = 99) $27/66$ 0.000 1 ≥ 40 $27/66$ 0.000 1 < 40 $26/33$ 2.717 $1.567 - 4.711$ AZA after IFX discontinuation V V No $14/29$ 0.109 1 Yes $45/77$ 1.644 $0.896 - 3.018$	No	28/62	0.009	1	
CRP level (mg/L) (n = 93) ≤ 5 $30/68$ 0.000 1> 5 $21/25$ 3.479 $1.979 - 6.114$ Alb level (g/L) (n = 99) $27/66$ 0.000 1 ≤ 40 $26/33$ 2.717 $1.567 - 4.711$ AZA after IFX discontinuation $14/29$ 0.109 1No $14/29$ 0.109 1Yes $45/77$ 1.644 $0.896 - 3.018$	Yes	30/43		1.992	1.188 - 3.341
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CRP level (mg/L) ($n = 93$)				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	\leq 5	30/68	0.000	1	
Alb level (g/L) (n = 99) ≥ 40 27/660.0001 < 40 26/332.7171.567 - 4.711AZA after IFX discontinuation V V V No14/290.1091Yes45/771.6440.896 - 3.018	> 5	21/25		3.479	1.979 - 6.114
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Alb level (g/L) $(n = 99)$				
< 40 26/33 2.717 1.567 - 4.711 AZA after IFX discontinuation <td>≥ 40</td> <td>27/66</td> <td>0.000</td> <td>1</td> <td></td>	≥ 40	27/66	0.000	1	
No 14/29 0.109 1 Yes 45/77 1.644 0.896 - 3.018	< 40	26/33		2.717	1.567 - 4.711
No 14/29 0.109 1 Yes 45/77 1.644 0.896 - 3.018	AZA after IFX discontinuation				
Yes 45/77 1.644 0.896 - 3.018	No	14/29	0.109	1	
	Yes	45/77		1.644	0.896 - 3.018

CRP: C-reactive protein; Alb: albumin; Hb: hemoglobin; AZA: azathioprine; CI: confidence interval. *Male patients < 130 g/L, female patients < 115 g/L. **Male patients < 0.4, female patients < 0.35.

Variables	P value	Hazard ratio	95% CI
CD-related surgery before infusion	0.013	2.671	1.230 - 5.798
Step-up therapeutic regimen	0.035	2.073	1.054 - 4.080
Low Hb level at week 0	0.116	2.183	0.825 - 5.779
Low Hct level at week 0	0.439	0.678	0.253 - 1.817
Low Alb level at week 0	0.022	3.431	1.196 - 9.846
Low Hct level at week 30	0.531	1.258	0.613 - 2.582
High CRP level at week 30	0.007	2.643	1.310 - 5.332
Low Alb level at week 30	0.819	0.916	0.434 - 1.935

Table 3. Multivariable Cox Model

After univariate analysis, variables with P < 0.05 were included in the multivariable Cox model. CRP: C-reactive protein; Alb: albumin; CI: confidence interval.

anti-TNF-induced MH and DR has been demonstrated to prolong remission and reduce rate of complications, hospitalizations and surgery [26, 27, 31]. A study suggested that MH as an endpoint is cost effective in CD patients [32]. In our study, only 35 patients performed an endoscopy and 80% (28/35) achieved MH at the time of IFX discontinuation, and 10 of 28 relapsed during the follow-up period. On the contrary, a recent study showed survival of CD patients who were in deep remission (clinical and endoscopic healing; fecal calprotectin < 150 mg/kg; CRP \leq 5 mg/L) was not better compared with those who did not fulfill these criteria [33]. Further prospective, case-control and large scale studies should be performed to identify predictors of sustained remission following withdrawal strategies in CD.

The present study has several limitations. First, endoscopy at the time of IFX initiation and withdraw was not performed in all patients, and endoscopic activity score was calculated by SES-CD, which could not assess the whole small intestine. Second, due to the constraints, fecal calprotectin, IFX trough levels and antibody status were not assessed in our study. Last, as the retrospective study, it is not available to assess the relapse rate according to risk strata. Even so, to our knowledge, this is the first study focusing on the cessation of IFX therapy after scheduled IFX treatment in Chinese CD patient group.

In conclusion, our study showed a relatively high rate of relapse in CD patients in 1 year after discontinuing the scheduled IFX therapy, especially those with high risks of relapse. Improving nutritional status, normalized CRP levels, and an early use of top-down strategy to avoid resection may help patients to have a better prognosis with sustained clinical remission.

Grant Support

This work was supported by grants from Science and Technology Planning Project of Guangdong Province (2014A020212172), Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (2014A030313309), and Guangdong Provincial Bio-Engineering Research Center for Gastroenterology Diseases.

Conflicts of Interest

All authors declare that they have no relevant interests to disclose.

References

- Cosnes J, Gower-Rousseau C, Seksik P, Cortot A. Epidemiology and natural history of inflammatory bowel diseases. Gastroenterology. 2011;140(6):1785-1794.
- 2. Rutgeerts P, D'Haens G, Targan S, Vasiliauskas E, Hanauer SB, Present DH, Mayer L, et al. Efficacy and safety of retreatment with anti-tumor necrosis factor antibody (infliximab) to maintain remission in Crohn's disease. Gastroenterology. 1999;117(4):761-769.
- 3. Hanauer SB, Feagan BG, Lichtenstein GR, Mayer LF, Schreiber S, Colombel JF, Rachmilewitz D, et al. Maintenance infliximab for Crohn's disease: the ACCENT I randomised trial. Lancet. 2002;359(9317):1541-1549.
- 4. Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ, Reinisch W, Mantzaris GJ, Kornbluth A, Rachmilewitz D, Lichtiger S, et al. Infliximab, azathioprine, or combination therapy for Crohn's disease. N Engl J Med. 2010;362(15):1383-1395.
- Schnitzler F, Fidder H, Ferrante M, Noman M, Arijs I, Van Assche G, Hoffman I, et al. Long-term outcome of treatment with infliximab in 614 patients with Crohn's disease: results from a single-centre cohort. Gut. 2009;58(4):492-500.
- Terdiman JP, Gruss CB, Heidelbaugh JJ, Sultan S, Falck-Ytter YT. American Gastroenterological Association Institute guideline on the use of thiopurines, methotrexate, and anti-TNF-alpha biologic drugs for the induction and maintenance of remission in inflammatory Crohn's disease. Gastroenterology. 2013;145(6):1459-1463.
- Dignass A, Van Assche G, Lindsay JO, Lemann M, Soderholm J, Colombel JF, Danese S, et al. The second European evidence-based Consensus on the diagnosis and management of Crohn's disease: Current management. J Crohns Colitis. 2010;4(1):28-62.
- 8. D'Haens GR, Panaccione R, Higgins PD, Vermeire S,

Gastroenterol Res. 2017;10(2):92-99

Gassull M, Chowers Y, Hanauer SB, et al. The London Position Statement of the World Congress of Gastroenterology on Biological Therapy for IBD with the European Crohn's and Colitis Organization: when to start, when to stop, which drug to choose, and how to predict response? Am J Gastroenterol. 2011;106(2):199-212; quiz 213.

- Pittet V, Froehlich F, Maillard MH, Mottet C, Gonvers JJ, Felley C, Vader JP, et al. When do we dare to stop biological or immunomodulatory therapy for Crohn's disease? Results of a multidisciplinary European expert panel. J Crohns Colitis. 2013;7(10):820-826.
- 10. Pariente B, Laharie D. Review article: why, when and how to de-escalate therapy in inflammatory bowel diseases. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2014;40(4):338-353.
- 11. Sorrentino D, Nash P, Viladomiu M, Hontecillas R, Bassaganya-Riera J. Stopping anti-TNF agents in patients with Crohn's disease in remission: is it a feasible longterm strategy? Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2014;20(4):757-766.
- 12. Lichtenstein GR, Feagan BG, Cohen RD, Salzberg BA, Diamond RH, Price S, Langholff W, et al. Serious infection and mortality in patients with Crohn's disease: more than 5 years of follow-up in the TREAT registry. Am J Gastroenterol. 2012;107(9):1409-1422.
- 13. Ford AC, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Opportunistic infections with anti-tumor necrosis factor-alpha therapy in inflammatory bowel disease: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Gastroenterol. 2013;108(8):1268-1276.
- Deepak P, Sifuentes H, Sherid M, Stobaugh D, Sadozai Y, Ehrenpreis ED. T-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphomas reported to the FDA AERS with tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-alpha) inhibitors: results of the REFURBISH study. Am J Gastroenterol. 2013;108(1):99-105.
- Louis E, Mary JY, Vernier-Massouille G, Grimaud JC, Bouhnik Y, Laharie D, Dupas JL, et al. Maintenance of remission among patients with Crohn's disease on antimetabolite therapy after infliximab therapy is stopped. Gastroenterology. 2012;142(1):63-70 e65; quiz e31.
- Waugh AW, Garg S, Matic K, Gramlich L, Wong C, Sadowski DC, Millan M, et al. Maintenance of clinical benefit in Crohn's disease patients after discontinuation of infliximab: long-term follow-up of a single centre cohort. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2010;32(9):1129-1134.
- Steenholdt C, Molazahi A, Ainsworth MA, Brynskov J, Ostergaard Thomsen O, Seidelin JB. Outcome after discontinuation of infliximab in patients with inflammatory bowel disease in clinical remission: an observational Danish single center study. Scand J Gastroenterol. 2012;47(5):518-527.
- Molnar T, Lakatos PL, Farkas K, Nagy F, Szepes Z, Miheller P, Horvath G, et al. Predictors of relapse in patients with Crohn's disease in remission after 1 year of biological therapy. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2013;37(2):225-233.
- Molander P, Farkkila M, Salminen K, Kemppainen H, Blomster T, Koskela R, Jussila A, et al. Outcome after discontinuation of TNFalpha-blocking therapy in patients with inflammatory bowel disease in deep remission. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2014;20(6):1021-1028.
- 20. Baert F, Moortgat L, Van Assche G, Caenepeel P, Vergau-

we P, De Vos M, Stokkers P, et al. Mucosal healing predicts sustained clinical remission in patients with early-stage Crohn's disease. Gastroenterology. 2010;138(2):463-468; quiz e410-461.

- 21. Best WR, Becktel JM, Singleton JW, Kern F, Jr. Development of a Crohn's disease activity index. National Cooperative Crohn's Disease Study. Gastroenterology. 1976;70(3):439-444.
- 22. Daperno M, D'Haens G, Van Assche G, Baert F, Bulois P, Maunoury V, Sostegni R, et al. Development and validation of a new, simplified endoscopic activity score for Crohn's disease: the SES-CD. Gastrointest Endosc. 2004;60(4):505-512.
- 23. Silverberg MS, Satsangi J, Ahmad T, Arnott ID, Bernstein CN, Brant SR, Caprilli R, et al. Toward an integrated clinical, molecular and serological classification of inflammatory bowel disease: report of a Working Party of the 2005 Montreal World Congress of Gastroenterology. Can J Gastroenterol. 2005;19(Suppl A):5A-36A.
- 24. Papamichael K, Vande Casteele N, Gils A, Tops S, Hauenstein S, Singh S, Princen F, et al. Long-term outcome of patients with Crohn's disease who discontinued infliximab therapy upon clinical remission. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2015;13(6):1103-1110.
- 25. Brooks AJ, Sebastian S, Cross SS, Robinson K, Warren L, Wright A, Marsh AM, et al. Outcome of elective withdrawal of anti-tumour necrosis factor-alpha therapy in patients with Crohn's disease in established remission. J Crohns Colitis. 2015.
- 26. Rutgeerts P, Feagan BG, Lichtenstein GR, Mayer LF, Schreiber S, Colombel JF, Rachmilewitz D, et al. Comparison of scheduled and episodic treatment strategies of infliximab in Crohn's disease. Gastroenterology. 2004;126(2):402-413.
- 27. Rutgeerts P, Diamond RH, Bala M, Olson A, Lichtenstein GR, Bao W, Patel K, et al. Scheduled maintenance treatment with infliximab is superior to episodic treatment for the healing of mucosal ulceration associated with Crohn's disease. Gastrointest Endosc. 2006;63(3):433-442; quiz 464.
- 28. Gisbert JP, Marin AC, Chaparro M. Systematic review: factors associated with relapse of inflammatory bowel disease after discontinuation of anti-TNF therapy. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2015;42(4):391-405.
- 29. D'Haens G, Baert F, van Assche G, Caenepeel P, Vergauwe P, Tuynman H, De Vos M, et al. Early combined immunosuppression or conventional management in patients with newly diagnosed Crohn's disease: an open randomised trial. Lancet. 2008;371(9613):660-667.
- Onal IK, Beyazit Y, Altinbas A, Kurt M, Arhan M. Maintenance therapy for Crohn's disease: should it be indefinite? Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2015;27(10):1115-1125.
- 31. Schnitzler F, Fidder H, Ferrante M, Noman M, Arijs I, Van Assche G, Hoffman I, et al. Mucosal healing predicts long-term outcome of maintenance therapy with infliximab in Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2009;15(9):1295-1301.
- 32. Ananthakrishnan AN, Korzenik JR, Hur C. Can mucosal

healing be a cost-effective endpoint for biologic therapy in Crohn's disease? A decision analysis. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2013;19(1):37-44.

33. Bortlik M, Duricova D, Machkova N, Hruba V, Lukas

M, Mitrova K, Romanko I, et al. Discontinuation of antitumor necrosis factor therapy in inflammatory bowel disease patients: a prospective observation. Scand J Gastroenterol. 2016;51(2):196-202.